

**Table 3**  
Two of the Local Jurisdictions We Reviewed Would Benefit From Implementing Blind Scoring of Applications

LOCAL JURISDICTION	JURISDICTION'S CANNABIS PERMITTING ORDINANCE OR POLICY REQUIRES BLIND SCORING OF APPLICATIONS	JURISDICTION FOLLOWED POLICY REQUIRING BLIND SCORING
Fresno	X	—*
Monterey County	N/A	N/A
Sacramento	✓ <sup>†</sup>	✓ <sup>†</sup>
San Diego	N/A	N/A
Santa Barbara County	X	—*
South Lake Tahoe	✓	X

Source: State law, local jurisdictions' ordinances and policies, and our selection of applications.

N/A = These local jurisdictions do not require a competitive process that scores applications for permits, and therefore, we would not expect to see blind scoring in our review of applications.

\* The jurisdiction did not have a policy requiring blind scoring. Therefore, we would not expect to see blind scoring in our review of applications.

† Sacramento requires blind scoring of equity-retail or storefront applications because it has chosen to have a competitive process for these types of applications. It does not require a competitive process for other types of applications, including those that are not storefront applications. State law defines local equity programs as programs adopted or operated by a local jurisdiction that focus on the inclusion and support of individuals and communities in the cannabis industry who are linked to populations or neighborhoods that were negatively or disproportionately impacted by cannabis criminalization.